

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,760	1	10/01/2003	Donald Alfons Kubik	NTIC-G-CON-CIP (NTICPO114	7882	
28862	7590	12/01/2006		EXAMINER		
•	HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE, CO., L.P.A.				TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
2020 FRON SUITE 307	TSTREET	ľ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CUYAHOGA FALLS, OH 44221				1714		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			45
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,760	KUBIK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be family and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed m the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	September 2006.		
,	s action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under the secondary secondary.	nce except for formal matters, p		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,9-14 and 20-24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,9-14,20 and 21 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this Nationa	l Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	/ea.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed September 18, 2006 in which claims 1-5 and 9-14 were amended and claims 20-24 were added.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments and the amendment to the claims.

The rejections of the claims under 35 USC 103(a) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's arguments and the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ali (US 4,983,661).

Ali teaches a molding composition comprising a thermoplastic resin, a metal salt and conventional additives (see abstract). The thermoplastic resin may be low density polyethylene (see col. 3, line 59-col. 4, lines 1-3). The metal salt may be a mixture of metal silicates and zinc oxide (see col. 6, lines 20-42).

Ali teaches that the thermoplastic resin is present in 20 to about 80% by weight (see col. 6, lines 57-65). The metal salt is present in the composition in an amount from about 5% to about 20% by weight (see col. 7, lines 6-14). The other conventional

Application/Control Number: 10/676,760

Art Unit: 1714

additives are present in an amount up to about 25% by weight of the molding composition.

Ali teaches the limitations of the claims other than that the metal silicate is alkali metal silicate. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare a molding composition with such silicates because the general teaching of metal silicates encompass alkali metal silicates.

With respect to Ali not specifically teaching a composition wherein the metal silicate and zinc oxide are present, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a combination of these compounds because zinc oxide is a preferred metal salt and he teaches that the silicates may be combined with the oxides to inhibit plateout.

3. Claims 3-5, 9-14 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach the claimed polymers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,760 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10676760\20061122